Transportation Development *Project Briefing* 

February 21, 2019

## FY 2020 Allocation Carry Over Projects

Intersections

Calhoun Street – James Island Connector at Courtenay Drive Intersection River Road at Maybank Highway Center Street at Ben Sawyer Boulevard Signal Ashley Hall Plantation Road Right Turn Lane Extension SC 7 - SC 171 Intersection Improvements (Design)

#### Drainage

Morrison Court Drainage Project

Bike / Pedestrian

Azalea Drive Sidewalk (Easton Street to Cosgrove Avenue) Lighthouse Point Boulevard Sidewalk West Ashley Bikeway Crossing (SC 61)

#### Local Paving

Trexler Avenue Improvements Victory Lane Paving

# Highway 41 Corridor Improvements

<u>Funding</u>

\$129.3 million budgeted from the 2016 Transportation Sales Tax

#### <u>Status</u>

- Due to the need for a federal wetland permit, the Project is going through the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process
  - Narrowed down to 2 alternatives (1, 7a) and a No Build Option
  - Performing detailed reviews of impacts
  - Evaluating US 17 at Highway 41 intersection options
- o Town of Mount Pleasant has formally opposed Alternative 7a
- o Project will not include connection to Billy Swails Boulevard

### Interim Improvements

The Town of Mount Pleasant Council, stakeholders, and the public have stressed a need for immediate relief on Highway 41. The Project Team identified a short-term solution, including an additional southbound lane on Highway 41 from Bessemer Road to US 17.

Cost Estimates

\$200,000 – Design & Permitting (Town)

\$3 Million – Construction, Utility Relocation, and Right of Way

#### Approval

Yellow Sheet to County Council for February 21, 2019 meeting. Town Council second reading to provide funding is scheduled for March 12, 2019.



#### CENTRAL PARK ROAD AND RIVERLAND DRIVE INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT

Project Manager: Devri DeToma, P.E.

### PURPOSE

To improve safety and traffic flow for all modes of transportation at the intersection of Central Park Road and Riverland Drive while minimizing impacts to grand trees.

The South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) deemed this intersection a high priority due to the 23 crashes and 1 fatality in a 3-year period.

### PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

The Transportation Development Department **Studied 8 alternatives**. The project team **eliminated 5 alternatives** that either failed to improve traffic flow or impacted more than 10 grand trees.

Staff held a Public Meeting on October 24, 2018 to present the **3 reasonable alternatives** and to receive the public's feed back.

## ELIMINATED ALTERNATIVE EXAMPLE

	-		Ele Carl
Right of Way Impacts	1.12 Acres		
Grand Tree Impacts	9		
2040 Peak Hours	AM School P		PM
Level of Service	В	В	F



## ALTERNATIVE 1

	Impacts
	Grand Tree Ir
	2040 Peak He
TE FO EXISTING	Level of Serv
	INTERSECTION PROVEMENT PROJECT
	7 07 <sup>14</sup>
	osed Pavement Existing Right of Way rote Median Proposed Right of Way use Path Property Lines

Right of Way Impacts	0.77 Acres		
Grand Tree Impacts*	10 (10)		
2040 Peak Hours	AM	School	PM
Level of Service	В	В	В

\*The first number represents the total number of grand trees impacted and the number in parenthesis indicates how many of those are Grand Oaks.

## ALTERNATIVE 2



Right of Way Impacts	1.23 Acres		
Grand Tree Impacts*	6 (5)		
2040 Peak Hours	AM	School	PM
Level of Service	В	В	В



This project received 307 comments during a 36-day period: 79 paper and 228 online.

#### **Alternative Preferences**

Alternative	Number in Favor	Percentage in Favor
Alternative 1	44	14.33%
Alternative 2	10	3.26%
Alternative 3*	231	75.24%
Combination of Alternatives	2	0.65%
No Options	20	6.51%

\*Alternative 3 also received the most support from Stakeholders.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

Numbor in Envor

Dorcontago in Eavor

Important Eactors

Important Factors	Number in Favor	Percentage in Favor
Improved Safety	211	68.73%
Improved Traffic Flow	195	63.52%
Bike/Pedestrian Options	149	48.53%
Minimized Tree Impacts	110	35.83%
Anti-Traffic Light	44	19.30%
Minimized Property Impacts	32	10.42%

## COST ESTIMATE

#### Least Expensive

Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
\$4,105,000	\$4,278,000	\$4,630,000

Cost estimates include construction, right of way, inspection, and utilities. The difference in cost between Alternative 1 and 3 is \$525,000.

### RIGHT OF WAY IMPACTS

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Number of Impacted Parcels	9	12	14*
Acquisition Area	0.77 Acres	1.23 Acres	2.16 Acres
Displacements	1	0	0

\*This alternative will make two parcels undevelopable.

## SAFEST ALTERNATIVE

#### Safest Design

Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Probability for all crash types reduced, except rear end collisions	Probability for all crash types reduced, angle collisions still possible	Overall number of crashes and crash severity reduced

Staff recommends Alternative 3 because it best meets the purpose of the project and has the most public support.

